

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/773,197	01/31/2001	Leland James Wiesehuegel	AUS920000945US1	4475
75	90 07/08/2004		EXAM	INER
Robert H. Fran	- 			
P.O. Box 23324 Oklahoma City.	OK 73123-2334		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

چىلارىنە بىسى تا دېلىدى بىغى ئالىكىلى ئىنىڭ بۇلۇپ، ئالىرىلىدىكى ئالىكىلىكىكى بىكىلىكىكى بارىكىلىكىكى بىلىكىلىك

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
09/773,197	WIESEHUEGEL ET A	ıL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eric K Nicholson	3679	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 27 April 2004 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper

		he	ading or in the proper order.
2.		Th ap	e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a stement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page d line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		Th	e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A s	single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		Th	e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8))
8.		Th	e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	\boxtimes	Otl	her (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		4-5	plicant lists under the heading IIICCLIECT and the unitable of plains 4.45 as a being 11.00 11.00 and 200 and

Applicant lists under the heading "ISSUES" only the rejection of claims 1-15 as obvious over U.S. patent 6,606,603 to Joseph et al. in view of U.S. patent 5,303,379 to Khoyi et al. and does not address the rejection of claims 1-15 rejected as obvious over U.S. patent 6,606,603 to Joseph et al. in view of U.S. patent 5,117,354 to Long et al. Applicant presents no arguments or remarks towards the rejection of claims 1-15 over U.S. patent 6,606,603 to Joseph et al. in view of U.S. patent 5,117,354 to Long et al. which is on pages 4-7 of the final office action.

> Eric K Nicholson Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3679